

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/099,957	KAWANISHI, TETSUYA
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joseph P. Martinez	2873

All Participants:

(1) Joseph P. Martinez.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Attorney Surinder Sachar.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 March 2004

Time: 09:30 AM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claims 1-40

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See *Continuation Sheet*

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SR/Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Attorney Surinder Sachar that Independent Claim 2 and associated dependent claims were in condition for allowance. Attorney Surinder Sachar opted to cancel Independent Claim 1 and associated dependent claims via Examiner's Amendment in order to put the case in condition for Allowance. .